## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

TERRA PARTNERS; TERRA XXI, LTD.; ROBERT WAYNE VEIGEL; ELLA MARIE WILLIAMS VEIGEL; VEIGEL FARMS, INC.; VEIGEL CATTLE COMPANY; and VEIGEL FARM PARTNERS.

Plaintiffs,

VS.

Civ. No. 16-1036 WPL/KK

AG ACCEPTANCE CORPORATION,

Defendant.

## **ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL**

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Responses to Plaintiffs' First Request for Production, filed October 28, 2016. (Doc. 91.) The Court does not require a response from Defendant.

Plaintiffs served their First Requests for Production on Defendant on September 17, 2015. (Doc. 91 at 1, ¶ 1.) On October 16, 2015, Defendant served their responses. (*Id.* at ¶ 2.) Plaintiffs argue that Defendant asserted a number of unfounded and unsupported objections in its responses, and they now seek to compel discovery. (*Id.* at ¶ 7.) Pursuant to the Local Rules of Civil Procedure for the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico, a party served with objections to requests for production must seek relief pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a) within twenty-one (21) days of service of an objection, unless the response specifies that documents will be produced or inspection allowed. In that case, the party must seek relief within twenty-one days after production or inspection of the documents. D.N.M.LR-Civ. 26.6. Here, Plaintiffs seeks to compel discovery one year and twelve days after it received Defendant's

objections and more than eight months after discovery terminated.<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs' Motion is untimely. For this reason, the Court finds Plaintiffs' Motion is not well taken and it is **DENIED.** 

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**United States Magistrate Judge** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On July 24, 2015, this matter was transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas Amarillo Division. (Doc. 23.) That court entered a Scheduling Order and set a discovery deadline of February 2, 2016, and a discovery motions deadline of February 29, 2016. (Doc. 26.) The case was transferred back to this Court on September 16, 2016. (Doc. 81.)